

### **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Reconsideration and allowance in view of the following remarks are respectfully requested.

An Amendment was filed on November 16, 2006. That amendment was not entered. The above-amendment is offered in lieu of the not entered November 16, 2006 amendment.

In the Examiner's August 8, 2006 Official Action, claims 1, 3, 10-11, 15-17, 19-20 and 22 were rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Isozumi et al. Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

In the present invention, as defined by claims 1 and 11, an injector is provided that includes a housing having an inner surface. The filter section defines a tubular fluid passage with the inner surface of the housing. The tubular fluid passage has a cross-sectional area which is equivalent to or smaller than a summation of cross-sectional areas of the holes of the filter section, so that fluid flow is regulated through the tubular fluid passage, and not by the holes of the filter section.

In general, regulation of fluid flow in an injector is essentially relevant to a fuel injection property, which exerts a significant influence on the performance of both the engine and the vehicle.

Conventionally, a filter for an injector has a plurality of holes that traditionally regulate fluid flow in the injector. In such a structure, when there is a large variation in dimension of the holes, there is a large variation in the injection property of the injector. Consequently, there is a large variation in the performance of both the engine and the vehicle.

As defined by claims 1 and 11, the tubular fluid passage defined between the filter section and the inner surface of the fluid passage body has a cross-sectional area

which is equivalent to or smaller than the summation of cross-sectional areas of the holes of the filter section, so that fluid flow is regulated through the tubular fluid passage and not by the filter per se. As mentioned in the specification at page 11, lines 6-12, pressure drop through the filter 50 can be regulated precisely by precisely manufacturing the outer diameter d2 of the filter section 52 and the inner diameter D of the fuel inlet port 40. In this way, precise manufacturing of each small hole 53 in the filter is not necessarily. Therefore, pressure drop throughout filter 50 can be easily regulated. This feature of the invention is significant to reduce variation in performance of the injector and the engine. In addition, as defined by claims 1 and 11, the filter section is integral with the closed end section. As understood from the disclosure, the closed end section is used for collecting debris.

Anticipation under Section 102 of the Patent Act requires that a prior art reference disclose every claim element of the claimed invention. See, e.g., Orthokinetics, Inc. v. Safety Travel Chairs, Inc., 806 F.2d 1565, 1574 (Fed. Cir. 1986). While other references may be used to interpret an allegedly anticipating reference, anticipation must be found in a single reference. See, e.g., Studiengesellschaft Kohle, G.m.b.H. v. Dart Indus., Inc., 726 F.2d 724, 726-27 (Fed. Cir. 1984). The absence of any element of the claim from the cited reference negates anticipation. See, e.g., Structural Rubber Prods. Co. v. Park Rubber Co., 749 F.2d 707, 715 (Fed. Cir. 1984). Anticipation is not shown even if the differences between the claims and the prior art reference are insubstantial and the missing elements could be supplied by the knowledge of one skilled in the art. See, e.g., Structural Rubber Prods., 749 F.2d at 716-17.

In contrast to the invention defined by applicant's claims 1 and 11, in Isozumi the filter is provided in a piston type high-pressure fuel pump, not an injector. Therefore, Isozumi fails to anticipate the invention of applicant's claims, which is directed to an injector. Additionally, Isozumi does not teach the skilled artisan that the filter he discloses should be incorporated in an injector nor does Isozumi teach the

unique and advantageous features of applicant's injector. In this regard, the feature of Isozumi is to determine the size of each square opening such that the filter does not clog up from freezing. Obviously, in Isozumi, according to Figure 6 and to the specification at column 4, lines 22-43, the square opening (filter opening) is a dominant factor to regulate the amount of fuel injected into the engine. Therefore, regulation of the flow rate in Isozumi is completely different from that defined by applicant's claims 1 and 11. Thus, the feature of Isozumi and the focus of Isozumi's disclosure differs substantially from that defined by the currently amended claims 1 and 11.

In view of the foregoing, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection over Isozumi is requested.

Claims 2, 18 and 21 were rejected under 35 USC 103 as being unpatentable over Isozumi in view of JP '316. Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

JP '316 teaches a filter which consists of porous silica having a controlled pore diameter. As such, JP '316 teaches a filter that is a completely different composition and configuration than Isozumi. Indeed, not only does JP '316 relate to a porous silica filter as opposed to a open holed mesh filter as taught by Isozumi, but JP '316 provides a filter having a cylindrical shape which also differs from the conical design of Isozumi.

The Examiner asserts that it would be obvious to adopt the hemispherically shaped closed end of JP '316 in Isozumi. Applicant respectfully disagrees. Firstly, JP '316 does not teach a "hemispherically-shaped" closed end. In this regard, although JP '316 mentions that one end of the filter tube is sealed, there is no teaching that the sealed end is extensive enough so as to define a "hemispherically-shaped" closed end much less that there would be a reason to provide such a shaped end in Isozumi. Indeed, Isozumi teaches no particular function of his closed end nor significance to the shape thereof. Likewise, JP '316 appears to have a rounded end simply because the filter is formed from porous silica that must be sealed at the end for the end to be closed. Because Isozumi does not relate to a porous silica structure, there is absolutely

no reason whatsoever to reshape the end of Isozumi's filter to be hemispherical, much less to form the side walls thereof to define a constant cross-sectional area for the tubular passage way. Because the structural make up and composition of each of these two filters is different, the skilled artisan would not piecemeal select isolated characteristics of JP '316 and incorporate them in Isozumi.

Section 103 does not allow the Examiner to engage in picking and choosing from the prior art only to the extent that it will support a holding of obviousness, while excluding parts of the prior art essential to the full appreciation of what the prior art suggests to one of ordinary skill in the art. In re Wesslau, 147 USPQ 391 (CCPA 1975).

As the CAFC has said, obviousness cannot be established by combining the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention, absent some teaching, suggestion or incentive supporting the combination. ACS Hospital Systems v Montefiore Hospital, 221 USPQ 929, 933 (Fed. Cir. 1984). There must be a suggestion in the art relied upon to use what one reference discloses in or in combination with the disclosure of the other reference or references relied upon by the Examiner. In re Grabiak, 226 USPQ 870, 872 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Thus, it is submitted that the skilled artisan would adopt Isozumi or JP '316 in the alternative and would not be motivated to adopt a piecemeal combination of their structures.

It is further respectfully noted that JP '316 fails to teach or describe any relationship between the cross-sectional area of the tubular fluid passage and the summation of cross-sectional areas of the pores/holes. Thus, JP '316 does not overcome the deficiencies of Isozumi noted above.

In summary, Isozumi and JP '316 do not motivate the skilled artisan to produce the feature of the presently amended claims 1 and 11 in which the tubular fluid passage regulates the fluid flow thereby enhancing performance of the injector. It is therefore

respectfully submitted that claims 1 and 11 and the claims that depend therefrom are not anticipated by Isozumi and not obvious over Isozumi in view of JP '316

Claims 4-8 and 13 were rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Isozumi in view of Neuman. Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

As noted above, Isozumi provides a filter wherein a mesh defines the filtering side wall of the filter. This simple filter mesh has square openings and the focus of Isozumi is the appropriate size of the sides of such openings.

The reference to Neuman cited by the Examiner teaches shaped filter openings defined through a metal side wall. Because Isozumi provides a filter mesh for his filter and teaches in great detail the criticality the size of his filter mesh holes, it is respectfully submitted that it would not be obvious for the skilled artisan to abandon Isozumi's invention and provide instead shaped bores as taught by Neuman. The Neuman shaped bores are not provided as a mesh, are not square in shape, and there is certainly no teaching in Neuman of how shaped bores could be provided in a simple mesh of the type Isozumi discloses. It is therefore respectfully submitted that the skilled artisan would not modify Isozumi in view of Neuman, but would select one of these two structures in the alternative. Reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection are requested.

All objections and rejections having been addressed, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance and an early Notice to that effect is earnestly solicited.

YAMAGUCHI et al.  
Appl. No. 10/622,660  
January 4, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

**NIXON & VANDERHYTE P.C.**

By:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Michelle N. Lester", written over a horizontal line.

Michelle N. Lester  
Reg. No. 32,331

MNL:slj  
901 North Glebe Road, 11th Floor  
Arlington, VA 22203-1808  
Telephone: (703) 816-4000  
Facsimile: (703) 816-4100